




Employee Experiences with Flexible Work Arrangements and Their Impact on Productivity

Mozhgan Ahmadi¹, Ali Gholipoursoleimani^{2*}, Kambiz Shahrodi³

¹ PhD student in Business Management, Rasht Branch, Islamic Azad University, Rasht, Iran.

² Assistant Professor, Department of Business Management, Rasht Branch, Islamic Azad University, Rasht, Iran

³ Assistant Professor, Department of Business Management, Rasht Branch, Islamic Azad University, Rasht, Iran

* Corresponding author email address: gholipourbahman@yahoo.com

Article Info

Article type:

Original Research

How to cite this article:

Ahmadi M, Gholipoursoleimani A, Shahrodi K. (2024). Employee Experiences with Flexible Work Arrangements and Their Impact on Productivity. *Journal of Resource Management and Decision Engineering*, 3(1), 26-32.

<https://doi.org/10.61838/kman.jrmde.3.1.5>



© 2024 the authors. Published by KMAN Publication Inc. (KMANPUB). This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC 4.0) License.

ABSTRACT

The evolving nature of work-life dynamics has prompted increased adoption of flexible work arrangements (FWAs). This study investigates the impacts of FWAs on employee experiences and productivity, aiming to identify the benefits and challenges of such arrangements and to understand how they influence work-life balance and job satisfaction. A qualitative research design was employed, utilizing semi-structured interviews to collect data from 30 participants across various industries known for implementing FWAs. Participants were chosen through purposive sampling to ensure diversity in age, gender, job role, and experience. Theoretical saturation was achieved to ensure comprehensive data coverage. Data were analyzed using thematic analysis to identify themes, subthemes, and underlying concepts. Three main themes were identified: Work-Life Balance, Productivity Dynamics, and Challenges and Barriers. Work-Life Balance covered aspects such as time management, family and personal life integration, stress levels, boundary setting, and overall satisfaction. Productivity Dynamics highlighted efficiency, collaboration, adaptability, focus, innovation, and output quality. Challenges and Barriers included technological issues, communication gaps, organizational support, professional isolation, and work overload. FWAs offer significant potential benefits by enhancing work-life balance and productivity. However, their success and sustainability depend on addressing the associated challenges, such as communication difficulties and potential isolation. Effective implementation of FWAs requires tailored strategies that consider individual and organizational needs, emphasizing the need for supportive technologies and robust management practices.

Keywords: Flexible work arrangements, employee productivity, work-life balance, qualitative research, thematic analysis, organizational behavior.

1. Introduction

The evolving nature of work, intensified by recent global shifts such as the COVID-19 pandemic, has spotlighted the critical role of flexible work arrangements (FWAs) in modern employment practices. The need to balance organizational productivity with employee well-being has led to a reevaluation of traditional work structures, giving rise to varied forms of FWAs. Research on flexible work arrangements spans several disciplines and offers divergent views on their efficacy and implications. Studies have significantly contributed to understanding the tangible benefits and potential drawbacks of FWAs. These studies highlight how FWAs can enhance employee satisfaction and performance but also underscore the challenges they pose in terms of communication, collaboration, and the blurring of work-life boundaries (Andi Aisyah Nurul Alifyanti et al., 2021; Menezes & Kelliher, 2011, 2016).

The dual focus on individual and organizational outcomes is crucial. On one hand, flexible work arrangements have been shown to potentially increase job satisfaction, as mediated by improved work-life balance (Aziz-Ur-Rehman & Siddiqui, 2019). On the other hand, they can influence organizational dynamics, affecting areas such as teamwork and productivity (Supriatna et al., 2021). Furthermore, the study by Mungania et al. (2016) in the banking sector in Kenya illustrates the positive implications on organizational performance when FWAs are effectively implemented (Mungania et al., 2016).

From the perspective of health and well-being, Chandola et al. (2019) found that FWAs might be associated with lower levels of stress-related biomarkers, suggesting a health benefit that extends beyond psychological well-being into physiological health. This intersection of health and workplace flexibility underscores a complex relationship where the design and implementation of FWAs can significantly impact the well-being and efficiency of the workforce (Chandola et al., 2019).

The variability in the impact of FWAs across different sectors and countries adds another layer of complexity. For instance, Dilmaghani (2020) provides a comparative evaluation of flexible work in Canada, highlighting cultural and economic factors that influence the effectiveness of such arrangements (Dilmaghani, 2020). Similarly, studies in non-Western contexts, such as those by Eshak and Transport (2021) in Egypt and Andi et al. (2021) in Indonesia, contribute to a nuanced understanding that flexible work arrangements may not universally yield the same outcomes,

influenced as they are by local organizational cultures and societal norms (Andi Aisyah Nurul Alifyanti et al., 2021; Eshak & Transport, 2021).

Despite the promising aspects of FWAs, there are notable challenges. Technological issues, communication gaps, and potential for professional isolation are significant concerns (Alzona & Villapando, 2021; Wahab & Tatoğlu, 2020). These challenges highlight the necessity for careful strategy and implementation to mitigate the drawbacks while enhancing the benefits of flexible work arrangements.

Moreover, the concept of "the other office" as explored by Houghton et al. (2018), where public servants trial co-working spaces, introduces an innovative dimension to FWAs. This concept not only expands the geographical and psychological understanding of the workplace but also introduces new dynamics in how work is performed outside traditional office settings (Houghton et al., 2018).

This study aims to delve deeper into these themes by examining the firsthand experiences of employees across various industries to better understand how flexible work arrangements affect their work and personal lives. Through qualitative research, specifically semi-structured interviews, this study seeks to achieve theoretical saturation in understanding the nuanced impacts of FWAs on productivity and employee well-being. Our analysis will draw from a rich body of literature while aiming to contribute new insights into the ongoing discussion about the future of work in an increasingly flexible and digital landscape.

2. Methods and Materials

2.1. Study Design and Participants

This study adopted a qualitative research methodology to explore employee experiences with flexible work arrangements and their subsequent impact on productivity. The research was designed to achieve theoretical saturation, ensuring a comprehensive understanding of the phenomenon under investigation.

Participants were selected through purposive sampling from various industries that have implemented flexible work arrangements. The sample included a diverse group of employees ranging in age, gender, job role, and experience level to capture a wide array of perspectives and experiences.

All participants provided informed consent prior to their involvement in the study, with clear information on the study's purpose, the voluntary nature of their participation, and their rights to withdraw at any time without penalty.

2.2. Measures

2.2.1. Semi-Structured Interview

Data were collected exclusively through semi-structured interviews, which allowed for both in-depth and flexible discussions. The interview guide was developed based on preliminary literature review, focusing on exploring participants' personal experiences, perceived advantages and challenges, and the overall impact of flexible work arrangements on their productivity and work-life balance.

Each interview was conducted remotely via video conferencing tools to accommodate the flexible nature of participants' work environments. Interviews ranged from 45 to 60 minutes in length. Participants were assured of confidentiality and anonymity to encourage openness and honesty in their responses.

2.3. Data Analysis

The interviews were transcribed verbatim and analyzed using thematic analysis. This involved a rigorous process of coding and categorizing data into themes and subthemes, continuously comparing and refining these to ensure they

accurately represented the data. Analysis continued until theoretical saturation was achieved, meaning no new themes were emerging from the data, ensuring a robust understanding of the central phenomena.

3. Findings and Results

In this qualitative study, thirty participants were interviewed to explore their experiences with flexible work arrangements. The demographic profile of participants was diverse, encompassing a variety of ages, job roles, and industries. Specifically, the sample included 17 women and 13 men, highlighting a slight gender variation. Age distribution was broad, with 10 participants aged 20-30 years, 12 participants aged 31-45 years, and 8 participants over the age of 45, ensuring insights across different career stages. Participants represented several industries, including technology (10 participants), healthcare (8 participants), education (5 participants), and finance (7 participants). This diversity allowed for a rich exploration of experiences across different sectors known for varying demands and flexibility in work arrangements.

Table 1

The Results of Thematic Analysis

Categories	Subcategories	Concepts
Work-Life Balance	Time Management	Scheduling autonomy, Peak productivity times, Task batching
	Family and Personal Life	Family time, Personal health, Leisure activities
	Stress Levels	Work stress, Home stress, Coping strategies
	Boundary Setting	Physical boundaries, Mental boundaries, Time boundaries
	Satisfaction	Job satisfaction, Life satisfaction
Productivity Dynamics	Efficiency	Task completion rate, Streamlined processes, Workflow optimization
	Collaboration	Team meetings, Remote communication, Information sharing
	Adaptability	Learning new tools, Adjusting schedules, Workflow changes
	Focus	Distractions, Concentration, Task engagement
	Innovation	Creative solutions, Problem-solving, Innovation rate
Challenges and Barriers	Output Quality	Error rates, Work quality, Client feedback
	Technological Issues	Software limitations, Connectivity problems, Hardware issues
	Communication Gaps	Miscommunications, Information delays, Feedback timeliness
	Organizational Support	Managerial support, Policy clarity, Resource availability
	Professional Isolation	Lack of team interaction, Feeling of isolation, Networking difficulties
	Work Overload	Unreasonable deadlines, Overcommitment, Multitasking

In the qualitative analysis of the semi-structured interviews, three main themes were identified, each encompassing several subthemes and associated concepts. Below, we detail these findings, incorporating quotes from participants to illustrate key points.

3.1. Theme 1: Work-Life Balance

Time Management emerged as a crucial subtheme, with participants noting the benefits of "scheduling autonomy," which allowed them to work during "peak productivity times" and effectively "batch tasks." One participant

mentioned, "Having control over my schedule means I can work when I'm most alert, usually early in the morning or late at night."

Family and Personal Life integration was frequently discussed, with comments on improved "family time" and better management of "personal health" and "leisure activities." A respondent highlighted, "Now I can attend my daughter's soccer games without compromising work deadlines."

Stress Levels were also noted, with participants describing fluctuating "work stress" and "home stress," but also discussing various "coping strategies." "The flexibility helps, but sometimes the lines blur too much between home and work, causing stress," shared one interviewee.

Boundary Setting was identified as both a strategy and a challenge, with individuals setting "physical," "mental," and "time boundaries" to manage their roles effectively. "It's crucial to have a dedicated workspace so I don't feel like I'm always 'at work,'" explained another participant.

Satisfaction related to both job and life was highlighted, with many expressing higher overall satisfaction due to flexible arrangements.

3.2. Theme 2: Productivity Dynamics

Efficiency was frequently cited, with participants noting improvements in "task completion rate," "streamlined processes," and "workflow optimization." "Flexibility has definitely allowed me to streamline my tasks better," one said.

In terms of Collaboration, issues such as "team meetings" effectiveness, "remote communication," and "information sharing" were discussed. A participant noted, "We use several tools to stay connected, ensuring we're all on the same page even when working remotely."

Adaptability to new tools and schedules was emphasized, with mentions of "learning new tools," "adjusting schedules," and making "workflow changes" to adapt to remote work demands.

Focus and Innovation were also identified as areas impacted by flexible work arrangements, with mixed effects on "distractions," "task engagement," and "creative solutions." "Sometimes it's easier to innovate when I'm in a quiet space at home," a participant remarked.

Output Quality concerns were raised, particularly around "error rates" and "work quality," with some noting improvements and others challenges, especially in client-facing roles.

3.3. Theme 3: Challenges and Barriers

Technological Issues such as "software limitations" and "connectivity problems" were common, with one interviewee stating, "My home internet just doesn't match up to office speeds, which can be frustrating."

Communication Gaps were frequently mentioned, highlighting the importance of timely "feedback" and the difficulties of "miscommunications" in a remote setting. "Not being able to just walk over and clarify things can lead to delays," commented a participant.

Organizational Support was a critical factor, with calls for more "managerial support" and clearer "policy clarity." "Support from the top makes all the difference in how well flexible arrangements work," a respondent advised.

Professional Isolation and Work Overload were significant concerns, impacting feelings of connectivity and overall workload management. "I sometimes feel isolated, missing the informal chats that spark ideas," and another noted, "The workload seems to have increased, not sure if it's just more visible now."

4. Discussion and Conclusion

This study identified three main themes related to the experiences of employees with flexible work arrangements and their impact on productivity. The themes were: Work-Life Balance, Productivity Dynamics, and Challenges and Barriers. Within these themes, various categories emerged: Work-Life Balance included Time Management, Family and Personal Life, Stress Levels, Boundary Setting, and Satisfaction; Productivity Dynamics encompassed Efficiency, Collaboration, Adaptability, Focus, Innovation, and Output Quality; Challenges and Barriers consisted of Technological Issues, Communication Gaps, Organizational Support, Professional Isolation, and Work Overload.

The Work-Life Balance theme revealed how flexible work arrangements facilitated better management of personal and professional responsibilities. The category of Time Management included concepts such as scheduling autonomy, peak productivity times, and task batching, illustrating how employees tailored their work schedules to enhance productivity and personal time. Family and Personal Life touched on improved family time, personal health, and leisure activities, highlighting the personal benefits of flexible schedules. Stress Levels were characterized by fluctuations in work and home stress, with coping strategies employed to manage these pressures. Boundary Setting involved setting physical, mental, and

time boundaries to effectively separate work from personal life. Lastly, Satisfaction covered job and life satisfaction, showing overall positive impacts on employees' contentment with their work and home lives.

In the theme of Productivity Dynamics, the findings showed how FWAs influenced various aspects of work performance. The category of Efficiency featured concepts like task completion rate, streamlined processes, and workflow optimization, indicating that FWAs can lead to more efficient work practices. Collaboration highlighted the importance of team meetings, remote communication, and information sharing, which are vital for maintaining team coherence in flexible environments. Adaptability demonstrated the need for learning new tools, adjusting schedules, and modifying workflows to accommodate remote work requirements. Focus dealt with the reduction of distractions, increased concentration, and deeper task engagement. Innovation and Output Quality reflected how creative solutions and work quality are impacted by FWAs, with some participants noting improvements and others facing challenges.

Finally, the theme of Challenges and Barriers captured the difficulties associated with implementing FWAs. Technological Issues included problems such as software limitations, connectivity issues, and inadequate hardware, which can hinder remote work productivity. Communication Gaps encompassed miscommunications, information delays, and challenges in timely feedback, critical issues that can affect decision-making and team dynamics. Organizational Support stressed the need for more robust managerial support, clearer policies, and adequate resources to facilitate effective FWAs. Professional Isolation pointed to the reduced physical interactions and networking opportunities, leading to feelings of isolation among remote workers. Work Overload revealed how some employees experienced increased workloads and the challenges of managing multiple tasks simultaneously under flexible arrangements.

Our study found that FWAs generally improved work-life balance, which in turn enhanced job satisfaction and productivity. These findings are consistent with the research by Aziz-Ur-Rehman and Siddiqui (2019), who reported that flexible working arrangements could significantly mediate job satisfaction through improved work-life balance. Moreover, Menezes and Kelliher (2016) observed that FWAs could enhance individual performance and employee attitudes by providing workers with control over their work schedules, thus increasing their engagement and commitment to their roles (Menezes & Kelliher, 2016).

Participants in our study also noted an increase in productivity when they had the autonomy to choose their work hours and environment, echoing the results of Supriatna et al. (2021), who suggested that more flexible working conditions could lead to more productive workers. This connection between autonomy and productivity underscores the importance of well-implemented FWAs in fostering an environment where employees can thrive both professionally and personally (Supriatna et al., 2021).

Despite the positive impacts, our findings also reveal challenges associated with the implementation of FWAs, such as technological issues, communication gaps, and professional isolation. These challenges are highlighted in the literature, with Alzona and Villapando (2021) discussing how technological constraints can hinder productivity (Alzona & Villapando, 2021). Similarly, the study by Wahab and Tatoğlu (2020) emphasized that while chasing productivity improvements through FWAs, organizations must also consider worker well-being and the potential negative impacts on team dynamics and communication (Wahab & Tatoğlu, 2020).

The issue of professional isolation was particularly prominent among our participants, who reported feeling disconnected from colleagues and organizational culture. This finding is supported by Houghton et al. (2018), who noted that while alternative working spaces such as co-working could mitigate some isolation, they do not fully replace the social interactions found in traditional office settings (Houghton et al., 2018).

The impact of FWAs also varied across different industries, with technology and finance sectors reporting higher satisfaction and productivity compared to education and healthcare. This sector-specific variation aligns with the study by Mungania et al. (2016), who found that the banking industry experienced significant improvements in organizational performance with the adoption of FWAs. Conversely, sectors with a strong need for constant collaboration and physical presence, such as healthcare and education, faced more challenges, highlighting the necessity for industry-specific FWA strategies (Mungania et al., 2016).

The theoretical saturation achieved in our study provides a deep understanding of the nuanced impacts of FWAs, adding to the body of knowledge by detailing how individual and organizational outcomes interact in the context of flexible work. Practically, our findings suggest that for FWAs to be successful, they must be tailored to fit the specific needs of the industry and workforce, as also

discussed by Dilmaghani (2020), who emphasizes the cultural and economic factors influencing the effectiveness of FWAs (Dilmaghani, 2020).

In conclusion, our research confirms that flexible work arrangements can offer substantial benefits in terms of improved work-life balance and enhanced productivity. However, the realization of these benefits is highly dependent on the nature of the job, the individual's work style, and the organizational culture. As such, while FWAs present a promising avenue for improving employee satisfaction and organizational performance, they require nuanced and strategic implementation to mitigate potential downsides.

This study is not without limitations. The qualitative nature and the limited sample size restrict the generalizability of the findings. Additionally, the reliance on self-reported data through semi-structured interviews may introduce bias, as participants might project favorable personal experiences or perceptions. The study also did not quantitatively measure productivity changes, relying instead on subjective assessments, which might not accurately capture the true impact of FWAs on productivity.

Future research should aim to address these limitations by incorporating larger, more diverse samples and using mixed methods to collect and analyze data. Quantitative measures of productivity, alongside qualitative assessments, would provide a more comprehensive understanding of the impacts of FWAs. Additionally, longitudinal studies could explore the long-term effects of flexible work arrangements on both individual and organizational outcomes, providing insights into the sustainability of such practices.

For practice, this study suggests that organizations should consider adopting flexible work arrangements as a strategy to enhance employee satisfaction and potentially increase productivity. However, it is crucial for organizations to tailor these arrangements to fit their specific operational needs and organizational culture. Effective communication channels, robust technological support, and strategies to mitigate feelings of isolation are essential components of successful FWA implementation. Managers should also be trained to support their teams remotely and develop strategies to maintain team cohesion and a shared organizational identity, even when employees are not physically co-located.

Authors' Contributions

Authors contributed equally to this article.

Declaration

In order to correct and improve the academic writing of our paper, we have used the language model ChatGPT.

Transparency Statement

Data are available for research purposes upon reasonable request to the corresponding author.

Acknowledgments

We would like to express our gratitude to all individuals helped us to do the project.

Declaration of Interest

The authors report no conflict of interest.

Funding

According to the authors, this article has no financial support.

Ethics Considerations

In this research, ethical standards including obtaining informed consent, ensuring privacy and confidentiality were considered.

References

- Alzona, W. D. M., & Villapando, M. A. (2021). Workers' Flexible Working Arrangement and Productivity in ABC Semiconductor Company. *International Journal of Research Studies in Management*. <https://doi.org/10.5861/ijrsm.2021.m056>
- Andi Aisyah Nurul Alifyanti, H., Singh, J. S. K., & Hussain, I. A. (2021). Impact of Flexible Working Arrangements in the Public Sector in Indonesia. *International Journal of Human Resource Studies*. <https://doi.org/10.5296/ijhrs.v11i2.18458>
- Aziz-Ur-Rehman, M., & Siddiqui, D. A. (2019). Relationship Between Flexible Working Arrangements and Job Satisfaction Mediated by Work-Life Balance: Evidence From Public Sector Universities' Employees of Pakistan. *International Journal of Human Resource Studies*. <https://doi.org/10.5296/ijhrs.v10i1.15875>
- Chandola, T., Booker, C. L., Kumari, M., & Benzeval, M. (2019). Are Flexible Work Arrangements Associated With Lower Levels of Chronic Stress-Related Biomarkers? A Study of 6025 Employees in the UK Household Longitudinal Study. *Sociology*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0038038519826014>
- Dilmaghani, M. (2020). There Is a Time and a Place for Work: Comparative Evaluation of Flexible Work Arrangements in Canada. *International Journal of Manpower*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijm-12-2019-0555>
- Eshak, M., & Transport, A. M. (2021). Flexible Work Arrangements and Their Impact on the Employee Performance of Egyptian Private University Employees: (A Case Study on the Arab Academy for Science, Technology, and Maritime Transport). *International Journal of Social*

Science and Human Research.

<https://doi.org/10.47191/ijsshr/v4-i10-13>

- Houghton, K., Foth, M., & Hearn, G. (2018). Working From the Other Office: Trialling Co-Working Spaces for Public Servants. *Australian Journal of Public Administration*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8500.12317>
- Menezes, L. M. d., & Kelliher, C. (2011). Flexible Working and Performance: A Systematic Review of the Evidence for a Business Case. *International Journal of Management Reviews*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2370.2011.00301.x>
- Menezes, L. M. d., & Kelliher, C. (2016). Flexible Working, Individual Performance, and Employee Attitudes: Comparing Formal and Informal Arrangements. *Human Resource Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hrm.21822>
- Mungania, A. K., Waiganjo, E., & Kihoro, J. M. (2016). Influence of Flexible Work Arrangement on Organizational Performance in the Banking Industry in Kenya. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.6007/ijarbss/v6-i7/2238>
- Supriatna, M. D., Sofiani, N. F., & Anindita, N. (2021). More Flexible Working, More Productive Workers? <https://doi.org/10.2991/assehr.k.210629.029>
- Wahab, M. A., & Tatoğlu, E. (2020). Chasing Productivity Demands, Worker Well-Being, and Firm Performance. *Personnel Review*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/pr-01-2019-0026>