KMAN PUBLISHING INSTITUTE

Article history:
Received 08 August 2023
Revised 11 September 2023
Accepted 19 September 2023
Published online 01 October 2023

Journal of Resource Management and Decision Engineering

Volume 2, Issue 4, pp 24-30

Assessing Employee Perceptions of AI Integration in Workplace Decisions

Somayeh Aghaei Ghaleche[1]

[1] Department of Educational Management, Shahrekord Branch, Islamic Azad University, Shahrekord, Iran.

*** Corresponding author email address**: Aghaei-somayeh@gmail.com

A r t i c l e I n f o

Article type:
Original Research

How to cite this article:
Aghaei Ghaleche S. (2023). Assessing Employee Perceptions of AI Integration in Workplace Decisions. *Journal of Resource Management and Decision Engineering, 2*(4), 24-30.
https://doi.org/10.61838/kman.jrmde.2.4.5

A B S T R A C T

The rapid integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in the workplace has raised significant questions regarding its impact on employee perceptions and organizational dynamics. This study aims to explore how employees perceive the use of AI in workplace decision-making processes, focusing on their reactions, the effectiveness of AI systems, and the organizational impacts stemming from AI integration. This qualitative study utilized semi-structured interviews to collect data from 35 participants across various industries. Participants were selected using purposive sampling to ensure a diverse range of insights. The interviews focused on experiences with AI, its perceived effectiveness, and its impact on their professional lives. Data were analyzed using thematic analysis to achieve theoretical saturation, identifying main themes and sub-themes in the process. Three main themes emerged from the data: AI Effectiveness, Employee Reactions, and Organizational Impact. AI Effectiveness encompassed sub-themes such as Technical Performance, Decision Support, and Cost Efficiency, highlighting the practical benefits and challenges of AI. Employee Reactions included Trust in AI, Resistance to AI, and Emotional Impact, illustrating varied emotional and psychological responses. Organizational Impact covered changes in Workplace Dynamics and Policy and Regulation, reflecting AI's broader implications on organizational structures and processes. The study concludes that while AI can enhance operational efficiency and decision-making, its integration must be managed carefully to address the diverse and complex reactions from employees. Organizations are encouraged to foster transparent communication and ethical practices to mitigate fears and enhance the acceptance of AI. Training and adaptation support are also crucial to maximize AI's potential benefits while minimizing disruptions and resistance.

Keywords: *Artificial Intelligence, Employee Perceptions, Workplace Integration, Organizational Dynamics, Thematic Analysis, Qualitative Research*

1. Introduction

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into the workplace has become a focal point of research across multiple disciplines, highlighting its profound impact on operational processes, employee dynamics, and organizational strategies. As AI technologies continue to evolve and proliferate, understanding their implications for the workforce is crucial. AI's influence on the workplace is multifaceted, affecting everything from task execution to strategic decision-making (Chatterjee et al., 2021; Ishaaq, 2023; Moazemi et al., 2023; Pham et al., 2021). As noted by Duan, Edwards, and Dwivedi (2019), AI's capacity for enhancing decision-making in the era of big data is significant; however, it also presents considerable challenges, particularly in terms of acceptance and integration within existing organizational structures. The current study draws upon this foundational understanding, investigating how employees perceive and react to AI as it becomes an integral part of their daily work routines (Duan et al., 2019).

The acceptance of AI by employees is critical, as it influences not only the efficiency of AI implementation but also its effectiveness in enhancing job performance. Lichtenthaler (2019) underscores the extremes of employee attitudes towards AI, ranging from enthusiastic adoption to deep-seated resistance. These attitudes can significantly affect the overall climate of the workplace and the successful integration of AI technologies (Lichtenthaler, 2019). Similarly, Mirbabaie et al. (2021) delve into the concept of AI identity threat, which highlights the psychological impacts of AI on employees, suggesting that perceived threats by AI to professional identity can lead to resistance, thereby complicating the integration process (Mirbabaie et al., 2021).

Further exploring the psychological landscape, He, Teng, and Song (2023) examine how employees' appraisals of AI as either a challenge or a hindrance can influence their service performance, mediated by factors such as job crafting, job insecurity, and AI knowledge. This dynamic illustrates the complex ways in which AI integration intersects with personal and organizational growth trajectories (He et al., 2023).

Moreover, AI's integration affects not only individual roles but also the broader organizational context. Presbitero and Teng-Calleja (2022) highlight how AI integration influences employees' job attitudes and career behaviors from a career self-management perspective. Employees who perceive AI as a tool that enhances their career prospects are more likely to embrace its integration, which underscores the importance of managing career-related expectations and perceptions in the age of AI (Presbitero & Teng-Calleja, 2022).

Safety and ethical considerations are also paramount as AI becomes more prevalent in work settings. Cebulla, Szpak, and Knight (2023) address the need for rigorous health and safety assessments when AI systems are employed, reflecting a growing concern about the physical and psychological safety of workers interacting with AI (Cebulla et al., 2023). Similarly, Scott, Carter, and Coiera (2021) focus on stakeholder attitudes towards AI in clinical practice, which calls attention to the ethical dimensions of AI, particularly in sensitive environments such as healthcare (Scott et al., 2021).

Lastly, the influence of AI on job displacement and the need for skill adaptation present critical challenges. Margaryan (2023) discusses the evolving requirements for skills in the AI-enhanced workplace, suggesting a significant shift in the types of competencies that employees need to develop in order to remain relevant and effective in their roles. This shift necessitates a deeper understanding of how AI reconfigures professional landscapes and the strategies individuals and organizations might adopt to facilitate a smooth transition (Margaryan, 2023).

In summary, this study seeks to build on these various strands of literature by providing a detailed exploration of how employees perceive the integration of AI into their decision-making processes at work. Through a qualitative analysis of semi-structured interviews, this research aims to contribute to the ongoing discourse on AI's role in reshaping workplace dynamics, with a particular focus on the perspectives of those directly affected by these technological changes.

2. Methods and Materials

2.1. Study Design and Participants

This study employed a qualitative research design to assess employee perceptions of AI integration in workplace decisions. Our primary data collection method involved conducting semi-structured interviews, which allowed for both guided questions and open-ended responses to capture a comprehensive view of the participants' attitudes and experiences.

Participants were selected using purposive sampling to include a diverse range of employees who interact with AI

technology in their daily work across various industries. This approach ensured the inclusion of a broad spectrum of insights regarding AI integration in different work contexts. The study aimed to reach theoretical saturation, where no new information or themes are observed in the data. The point of saturation was considered achieved after interviewing 30 participants, as additional interviews did not yield new insights.

2.2. Measures

2.2.1. Semi-Structured Interview

The semi-structured interviews were designed around a set of predetermined questions focusing on participants' experiences with AI in their workplace, their perceptions of its effectiveness, fairness, and transparency, and their attitudes towards future AI integrations. These questions served as a starting framework to ensure consistency across interviews, while also allowing flexibility for participants to introduce and elaborate on topics they perceived as relevant. Each interview lasted approximately 45-60 minutes and was conducted virtually via video conferencing tools to accommodate participants' geographical distribution and schedules.

At the start of each interview, participants were informed about the study's purpose, the confidentiality of their responses, and their right to withdraw from the study at any time. Consent was obtained from all participants prior to the interviews. The interviews were audio-recorded with the participants' permission and later transcribed verbatim for analysis.

2.3. Data Analysis

Transcriptions were analyzed using thematic analysis to identify common patterns and themes regarding AI integration in the workplace. The analysis was conducted in multiple phases: initial coding, categorization, and theme development. Codes were generated inductively, and as themes emerged, they were reviewed and refined through an iterative process to ensure they accurately represented the data. The research team regularly discussed the emerging themes to validate the findings and ensure a comprehensive interpretation of the data.

3. Findings and Results

In the study, a total of 35 participants were interviewed to gather insights into their perceptions of AI integration in their workplaces. The demographic composition of the participants was diverse to ensure a wide range of perspectives. Specifically, the participants included 20 males (57%) and 15 females (43%), representing a balanced gender distribution. The age of the participants ranged from 25 to 54 years, with the majority (40%) falling within the 30-39 age group. Participants were employed across various sectors, including technology (9 participants, 26%), finance (8 participants, 23%), healthcare (6 participants, 17%), manufacturing (5 participants, 14%), and retail (7 participants, 20%).

Table 1

The Results of Thematic Analysis

Categories	Subcategories	Concepts
AI Effectiveness	Technical Performance	Accuracy, Speed, Reliability, Error rates, Scalability
	Decision Support	Data analysis, Predictive insights, Risk assessment
	Integration with Work Processes	User-friendliness, Automation, Customization
	Cost Efficiency	Reduction in labor costs, ROI, Operational costs
	Training and Adaptation	Learning curve, Ongoing training, User competence
Employee Reactions	Trust in AI	Transparency, Data security, Algorithm fairness
	Resistance to AI	Job threat, Preference for human judgment, Skepticism
	Acceptance and Adaptability	Openness to change, Tech-savviness, Flexibility
	Perceived Fairness	Bias, Discrimination, Equality
	Emotional Impact	Anxiety, Relief, Frustration, Excitement
Organizational Impact	Change in Workplace Dynamics	Collaboration, Communication patterns, Hierarchies
	Impact on Roles	Job displacement, Role enhancement, Skill requirements
	Policy and Regulation	Compliance, Ethics guidelines, Privacy regulations
	Long-term Strategic Impact	Competitive advantage, Innovation, Sustainability

Our analysis of the semi-structured interviews revealed three primary categories concerning employee perceptions of AI integration in the workplace: AI Effectiveness, Employee Reactions, and Organizational Impact. Each category consists of several subcategories with associated concepts derived from the interview data.

AI Effectiveness

The first major category, AI Effectiveness, encompasses how well AI systems perform within the workplace. This includes:

Technical Performance: Participants frequently highlighted the "accuracy" and "speed" of AI systems, noting that "AI can process data much faster than a human team could" (Participant 7) and is "generally reliable except in complex scenarios" (Participant 12).

Decision Support: Employees valued AI's "predictive insights" which "help in risk assessment significantly" (Participant 5).

Integration with Work Processes: There was a notable emphasis on "user-friendliness" and how seamlessly AI can be "customized to fit existing workflows" (Participant 15).

Cost Efficiency: Many noted the reduction in "operational costs" and improvements in "ROI due to AI deployment" (Participant 21).

Training and Adaptation: Concerns were raised about the "learning curve associated with new AI tools" and the need for "ongoing training to keep up with AI updates" (Participant 8).

Employee Reactions

Employee reactions to AI varied widely, as reflected in the following subcategories:

Trust in AI: Trust issues were frequently mentioned, particularly regarding "transparency in how the AI makes decisions" (Participant 9) and concerns over "data security" (Participant 14).

Resistance to AI: A common theme was the "fear of job loss" and a strong "preference for human judgment over AI" (Participant 4).

Acceptance and Adaptability: Some employees expressed "a high degree of flexibility and openness to technological changes" (Participant 19).

Perceived Fairness: Issues of "bias and discrimination in AI algorithms" were concerns that affected perceptions of fairness (Participant 11).

Emotional Impact: AI's introduction into the workplace elicited diverse emotional reactions, ranging from "anxiety about being replaced" to "excitement about reduced workload" (Participant 3).

Organizational Impact

The impact of AI on the organization was noted to influence:

Change in Workplace Dynamics: Changes were observed in "collaboration and communication patterns among teams" (Participant 17).

Impact on Roles: AI integration was seen as both a potential threat and an enhancer of roles, where it "requires new skills yet displaces old ones" (Participant 6).

Policy and Regulation: There was a call for "stronger compliance and ethics guidelines" in the wake of AI integration (Participant 20).

Long-term Strategic Impact: Many believed AI would provide a "competitive advantage and drive innovation, though sustainability concerns linger" (Participant 22).

4. Discussion and Conclusion

In this study, three main themes were identified concerning employee perceptions of AI integration in the workplace: AI Effectiveness, Employee Reactions, and Organizational Impact. Each theme encapsulated several categories that represented specific aspects of the broader themes. AI Effectiveness included categories such as Technical Performance, Decision Support, Integration with Work Processes, Cost Efficiency, and Training and Adaptation. Employee Reactions encompassed Trust in AI, Resistance to AI, Acceptance and Adaptability, Perceived Fairness, and Emotional Impact. Organizational Impact covered Change in Workplace Dynamics, Impact on Roles, Policy and Regulation, and Long-term Strategic Impact.

The theme of AI Effectiveness captured the functional and operational aspects of AI technology as perceived by employees. Technical Performance included concepts like accuracy, speed, reliability, error rates, and scalability, highlighting the core capabilities of AI systems. Decision Support involved data analysis, predictive insights, and risk assessment, reflecting AI's role in enhancing decision-making processes. Integration with Work Processes covered user-friendliness, automation, and customization, indicating the ease and flexibility of incorporating AI into daily tasks. Cost Efficiency pointed to reductions in labor costs, ROI, and operational costs as significant benefits. Lastly, Training and Adaptation highlighted the learning curve, ongoing training needs, and user competence as essential for effective AI use.

Employee Reactions revealed the psychological and emotional responses to AI in the workplace. Trust in AI was

characterized by concerns over transparency, data security, and algorithm fairness, showing the critical importance of ethical AI development. Resistance to AI included fears of job threats, preference for human judgment, and general skepticism about AI's role, reflecting apprehensions about technology replacing human roles. Acceptance and Adaptability captured openness to change, tech-savviness, and flexibility, essential for positive AI integration experiences. Perceived Fairness involved issues around bias, discrimination, and equality, highlighting concerns about AI's decision-making processes. Emotional Impact ranged from anxiety and frustration to relief and excitement, depicting a wide range of emotional responses to AI integration.

Organizational Impact focused on how AI technology reshapes the structure and function of workplace environments. Change in Workplace Dynamics included alterations in collaboration, communication patterns, and hierarchies, showing how AI can transform traditional work setups. Impact on Roles addressed job displacement, role enhancement, and new skill requirements, indicating both challenges and opportunities presented by AI. Policy and Regulation dealt with compliance, ethics guidelines, and privacy regulations, emphasizing the need for robust governance frameworks. Long-term Strategic Impact looked at competitive advantage, innovation, and sustainability, suggesting that AI could drive future organizational growth and strategy.

A significant theme that emerged from our interviews was the fluctuating levels of trust in AI and the resistance to its implementation. Many participants expressed concerns about transparency and fairness in AI decision-making processes, reflecting broader apprehensions about the ethical implications of AI. These findings resonate with the work of Bankins et al. (2022), who discuss how contrasting workers' justice perceptions of human and AI decision-making can significantly impact employee trust. Moreover, Mirbabaie et al. (2021) highlight the AI identity threat, which our findings echo as employees fear job displacement and the undermining of professional capabilities (Bankins et al., 2022). This resistance is further underscored by Lichtenthaler (2019), who notes the extremes of employee acceptance and the critical role of management in navigating these polarized views to foster a more inclusive AI integration strategy (Lichtenthaler, 2019).

Despite initial resistance, a pattern of gradual acceptance and adaptability emerges, particularly when employees perceive AI as enhancing their job performance or providing significant professional benefits. This aligns with Presbitero and Teng-Calleja (2022), who found that perceived AI incorporation positively influences career behaviors and job attitudes, particularly when employees engage in proactive career self-management (Presbitero & Teng-Calleja, 2022). Furthermore, He, Teng, and Song (2023) discuss how employees' appraisals of AI as a challenge rather than a hindrance can lead to better service performance and increased job crafting activities, suggesting that the framing of AI integration as an opportunity rather than a threat could be crucial in cultivating positive perceptions (He et al., 2023).

The organizational impact of AI, as highlighted by our participants, particularly concerning changes in workplace dynamics and job roles, is substantial. This finding is consistent with Jetha et al. (2023), who advocate for a focused research agenda on AI and the work-health interface, emphasizing the need for studies that explore how AI reconfigures work environments and employee well-being (Jetha et al., 2023). Moreover, Margaryan (2023) discusses the shift in skill requirements prompted by AI, which we found to be a significant concern among participants worried about job displacement and the need for new competencies (Margaryan, 2023).

Our findings also emphasize the importance of robust policy frameworks to govern AI integration, reflecting concerns similar to those raised by Scott, Carter, and Coiera (2021), who explore stakeholder attitudes towards AI in clinical settings, stressing the ethical dimensions and the need for transparency (Scott et al., 2021). Cebulla, Szpak, and Knight (2023) also highlight the crucial role of workplace health and safety assessments when implementing AI, underscoring the broader implications for policy and regulatory frameworks needed to safeguard employee interests in AI-enhanced environments (Cebulla et al., 2023).

This study explored employee perceptions of AI integration in workplace decision-making processes through qualitative analysis of semi-structured interviews with 35 participants across various industries. The findings revealed nuanced views concerning AI's impact, categorized into three main themes: AI Effectiveness, Employee Reactions, and Organizational Impact. Employees demonstrated mixed feelings about AI, ranging from skepticism and resistance, particularly related to trust and transparency, to acceptance and adaptation, influenced by perceived personal and professional benefits. Organizational impacts were noted in shifts in workplace dynamics and role modifications,

necessitating new skills and adaptations. Ethical and policy-related concerns also surfaced, emphasizing the need for clear regulations and ethical guidelines in AI deployment.

The integration of AI into workplace decision-making is a transformative movement with significant implications for employee engagement and organizational dynamics. The study highlights the complex interplay between technology and human factors, where effective AI integration hinges not just on technological advancement but also on addressing human-centric concerns. The findings suggest that organizations should strive to balance innovation with ethical considerations and transparent communication to foster an environment where AI can be a complement rather than a threat to the human workforce.

This study is not without limitations. The qualitative approach, while rich in detail, limits the generalizability of the findings. The sample size, though adequate for thematic saturation, may not capture all industry-specific or cultural nuances in AI perceptions. Additionally, the data collection method, relying solely on interviews, may be subject to biases in self-reporting and does not include observational or quantitative measures that could provide a more comprehensive view of AI's impact on workplace dynamics.

Future research should consider expanding the scope of inquiry to include quantitative methods that can offer broader generalizability and deeper insights into how different variables interact in the context of AI integration. Longitudinal studies could also provide valuable information on how perceptions and interactions with AI evolve over time as employees become more accustomed to these systems. Additionally, exploring cross-cultural differences in AI integration could shed light on how diverse global perspectives influence technology adoption and adaptation.

For practitioners, this study underscores the importance of considering employee perspectives in the implementation of AI technologies. Organizations should invest in training programs that not only aim to enhance skills but also build an understanding of AI's potential and limitations. Transparent communication about the purposes, processes, and protections associated with AI can help mitigate fears and resistance. Moreover, involving employees in the development and deployment phases of AI initiatives could foster a sense of ownership and acceptance, integrating human insights with technological capabilities to achieve optimal outcomes.

Authors' Contributions

Authors contributed equally to this article.

Declaration

In order to correct and improve the academic writing of our paper, we have used the language model ChatGPT.

Transparency Statement

Data are available for research purposes upon reasonable request to the corresponding author.

Acknowledgments

We would like to express our gratitude to all individuals helped us to do the project.

Declaration of Interest

The authors report no conflict of interest.

Funding

According to the authors, this article has no financial support.

Ethics Considerations

In this research, ethical standards including obtaining informed consent, ensuring privacy and confidentiality were considered.

References

Bankins, S., Formosa, P., Griep, Y., & Richards, D. (2022). AI Decision Making With Dignity? Contrasting Workers' Justice Perceptions of Human and AI Decision Making in a Human Resource Management Context. *Information Systems Frontiers*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10796-021-10223-8

Cebulla, A., Szpak, Z. L., & Knight, G. (2023). Preparing to Work With Artificial Intelligence: Assessing WHS When Using AI in the Workplace. *International Journal of Workplace Health Management*. https://doi.org/10.1108/ijwhm-09-2022-0141

Chatterjee, S., Rana, N. P., Khorana, S., Mikalef, P., & Sharma, A. (2021). Assessing Organizational Users' Intentions and Behavior to AI Integrated CRM Systems: A Meta-Utaut Approach. *Information Systems Frontiers*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10796-021-10181-1

Duan, Y., Edwards, J. S., & Dwivedi, Y. K. (2019). Artificial Intelligence for Decision Making in the Era of Big Data – Evolution, Challenges and Research Agenda. *International Journal of Information Management*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2019.01.021

He, C., Teng, R., & Song, J. (2023). Linking Employees' Challenge-Hindrance Appraisals Toward AI to Service Performance: The Influences of Job Crafting, Job Insecurity and AI Knowledge. *International Journal of Contemporary*

Hospitality Management. https://doi.org/10.1108/ijchm-07-2022-0848

Ishaaq, N. (2023). Re: Investigating the Impact of Innovative <scp>AI</Scp> Chatbot on Post-pandemic Medical Education and Clinical Assistance: A Comprehensive Analysis. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Surgery*. https://doi.org/10.1111/ans.18721

Jetha, A., Bakhtari, H. R., Rosella, L., Gignac, M. A. M., Biswas, A., Shahidi, F. V., Smith, B. T., Smith, M. J., Mustard, C., Khan, N., Arrandale, V. H., Loewen, P. J., Zuberi, D., Dennerlein, J. T., Bonaccio, S., Wu, N., Irvin, E., & Smith, P. (2023). Artificial Intelligence and the Work–health Interface: A Research Agenda for a Technologically Transforming World of Work. *American Journal of Industrial Medicine*. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajim.23517

Lichtenthaler, U. (2019). Extremes of Acceptance: Employee Attitudes Toward Artificial Intelligence. *Journal of Business Strategy*. https://doi.org/10.1108/jbs-12-2018-0204

Margaryan, A. (2023). Artificial Intelligence and Skills in the Workplace: An Integrative Research Agenda. *Big Data & Society*. https://doi.org/10.1177/20539517231206804

Mirbabaie, M., Brünker, F., Möllmann, N. R. J., & Stieglitz, S. (2021). The Rise of Artificial Intelligence – Understanding the AI Identity Threat at the Workplace. *Electronic Markets*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12525-021-00496-x

Moazemi, S., Vahdati, S., Li, J., Kalkhoff, S., Castano, L. J. V., Dewitz, B., Bibo, R., Sabouniaghdam, P., Tootooni, M. S., Bundschuh, R. A., Lichtenberg, A., Aubin, H., & Schmid, F. (2023). Artificial Intelligence for Clinical Decision Support for Monitoring Patients in Cardiovascular ICUs: A Systematic Review. *Frontiers in Medicine*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmed.2023.1109411

Pham, Q., Gamble, A., Hearn, J., & Cafazzo, J. A. (2021). The Need for Ethnoracial Equity in Artificial Intelligence for Diabetes Management: Review and Recommendations. *Journal of medical Internet research*. https://doi.org/10.2196/22320

Presbitero, A., & Teng-Calleja, M. (2022). Job Attitudes and Career Behaviors Relating to Employees' Perceived Incorporation of Artificial Intelligence in the Workplace: A career Self-Management Perspective. *Personnel Review*. https://doi.org/10.1108/pr-02-2021-0103

Scott, I., Carter, S. M., & Coiera, E. (2021). Exploring Stakeholder Attitudes Towards AI in Clinical Practice. *BMJ Health & Care Informatics*. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjhci-2021-100450