Employee Perceptions of Fairness in Resource Distribution within Large Corporations

Maryam Khajavi[1]

[1] Department of Business Management, Faculty of Management, Central Tehran Branch of Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran.

* Corresponding author email address: Khajavi-M23@gmail.com

A r t i c l e   I n f o

A B S T R A C T

Employee perceptions of fairness in resource distribution play a crucial role in shaping workplace attitudes and behaviors. Understanding these perceptions is vital for enhancing employee satisfaction and overall organizational effectiveness. This study aims to explore the qualitative dimensions of fairness as perceived by employees within large corporations, focusing on how resources such as bonuses, promotions, and training opportunities are allocated. A qualitative research design was employed, utilizing semi-structured interviews to collect data from 22 employees across various departments within large corporations. The interview protocol was designed to investigate the depth of employees' perceptions regarding the fairness of resource distribution. Theoretical saturation was achieved, ensuring a comprehensive exploration of the topic. Data were analyzed using thematic analysis to identify patterns and themes. The analysis revealed three main themes: Transparency, Equity, and Employee Satisfaction. Transparency included categories such as Communication of Decisions, Criteria for Allocation, and Access to Information. Equity was delineated into Equal Opportunities, Recognition and Rewards, Diversity and Inclusion, and Needs-Based Allocation. Employee Satisfaction comprised Perceived Fairness, Impact on Performance, Feedback Mechanisms, Professional Growth, and Workplace Atmosphere, each influencing employees' perceptions of organizational fairness and their personal and professional well-being. The study underscores the importance of transparency and equity in resource distribution processes within large corporations. Ensuring clear communication, equitable practices, and a focus on employee satisfaction can significantly enhance perceptions of fairness and, by extension, foster a more committed and productive workforce. These findings suggest that organizations should prioritize fair and transparent resource distribution strategies to improve employee relations and organizational outcomes.
Keywords: Organizational Justice, Employee Satisfaction, Resource Distribution, Equity, Transparency, Qualitative Research, Large Corporations.

1.   Introduction

The distribution of resources within large corporations is a focal point of organizational behavior studies, primarily

because it impacts employee attitudes, work behaviors, and overall organizational performance. As organizations continue to evolve in a highly competitive global marketplace, understanding the dynamics of resource distribution and its perception of fairness has become crucial. The concept of organizational justice, which encompasses distributive, procedural, and interactional justice, has been identified as a significant predictor of various employee outcomes. Distributive justice, or the perceived fairness of outcome distribution, is particularly salient in influencing employee attitudes towards the organization (Choi & Chen, 2007). Research suggests that when employees perceive fairness in the allocation of resources, such as salary, bonuses, and career opportunities, they are more likely to exhibit positive work behaviors and greater organizational commitment (Fathima & Umarani, 2022; Smith et al., 2019).

Moreover, the procedural aspects of how decisions are made and how resources are allocated also play a critical role in shaping perceptions of fairness. Studies have shown that fair processes increase trust in management and are strongly correlated with job satisfaction and employee well-being (Alonso & Elovainio, 2022; Heffernan & Dundon, 2016). This relationship is mediated by the psychological contract between the employee and the organization, where fulfillment of mutual expectations fosters a sense of justice (Harrington & Lee, 2014).

Organizational justice is not limited to the internal mechanisms of an organization but extends to its external engagements through corporate social responsibility (CSR). CSR activities are seen as a reflection of the company's fairness and ethical stance, influencing employee perceptions of distributive justice (Jung & Ali, 2017; Rupp et al., 2006). Furthermore, the alignment of HR practices with fairness principles, such as transparent performance appraisals and equitable HR policies, has been shown to reduce turnover intentions and foster organizational loyalty (Fathima & Umarani, 2022; Yao & Zeng, 2019).

In examining fairness in resource distribution, it is also crucial to recognize the impact of high-performance work systems (HPWS) on employee perceptions of organizational justice. These systems, which include comprehensive performance management and robust feedback mechanisms, have been found to enhance employee satisfaction and perceptions of fairness by ensuring that performance assessments are thorough and equitable (Modipane et al., 2019; Mok & Leong, 2021).

The demographic diversity of employees and their unique experiences within the organization further complicate the dynamics of fairness perceptions. As evidenced by Moorman (1991), the relationship between perceived organizational justice and citizenship behaviors is contingent on the fairness of the processes and the quality of the outcomes experienced by employees. This underlines the importance of adopting a nuanced approach to exploring how various demographic groups perceive fairness and how these perceptions influence their organizational citizenship behaviors (Moorman, 1991).

This study, therefore, employs a qualitative methodology to explore the intricate perceptions of fairness in resource distribution from the perspectives of employees across different levels and functions within large corporations. Through semi-structured interviews, the research aims to achieve a deeper understanding of the multifaceted concept of fairness and how it affects employee attitudes and behaviors. The findings from this research are expected to contribute valuable insights into the practices that foster a sense of fairness and equity in the workplace, ultimately enhancing organizational effectiveness and employee satisfaction.

2. Methods and Materials

2.1. Study Design and Participants

In this study, we adopted a qualitative research approach to delve into employee perceptions of fairness in resource distribution within large corporations. The principal data collection method employed was semi-structured interviews, which provided the flexibility necessary to explore the depth and nuances of participants' experiences and perceptions.

Participants were recruited from a variety of departments within several large corporations to ensure a diverse range of insights into the corporate culture and resource distribution practices. Eligibility for participation was determined based on the criterion that each participant must have been employed at their current corporation for at least one year. This criterion was set to ensure that participants had sufficient experience and exposure to internal resource allocation processes.

The sample size was initially set at 20 participants but was open to adjustment as the study progressed towards theoretical saturation—a point at which no new information or themes are observed in the data. This approach ensured

comprehensive coverage of the topic while remaining efficient in data collection.

2.2. Measures

2.2.1. Semi-Structured Interview

The semi-structured interviews were guided by a pre-determined set of open-ended questions designed to elicit detailed responses concerning the participants' perceptions of fairness in the distribution of resources such as bonuses, promotions, project assignments, and other corporate benefits. These questions were developed based on a review of relevant literature in organizational behavior and corporate governance, aiming to cover various aspects of fairness and resource allocation. The interview guide also allowed for the interviewer to ask follow-up questions or probe deeper based on the responses received, thereby accommodating the exploration of emerging themes during the interviews.

Interviews were conducted individually and lasted approximately 45-60 minutes each. The interviews were primarily held in a private meeting room at the participants' workplace or via secure video conferencing platforms, depending on the participant's preference and availability. All interviews were audio-recorded with the participants' consent and subsequently transcribed verbatim for analysis.

2.3. Data Analysis

The transcribed interviews were analyzed using thematic analysis, a method that involves identifying, analyzing, and reporting patterns (themes) within data. The analysis proceeded through a series of phases: familiarization with the data, generating initial codes, searching for themes among codes, reviewing themes, defining and naming themes, and producing the final report. This method facilitated a structured and detailed interpretation of the data, highlighting the key perceptions of fairness among employees.

3. Findings and Results

In this qualitative study, a total of 22 participants were interviewed to understand their perceptions of fairness in resource distribution within large corporations. The demographic breakdown of the participants was diverse, aiming to represent a broad spectrum of job roles and experiences within the organization. Specifically, 12 participants were female and 10 were male, reflecting a near gender balance. The age of participants ranged from 25 to 55 years old, with the majority (9 participants) falling within the 30-40 year age bracket, 7 participants were aged between 41-50, and the remaining 6 were either younger than 30 or older than 50. In terms of tenure at their current company, 5 participants had been employed for between 1 to 5 years, 9 had been with their company for 6 to 10 years, and 8 had a tenure of over 10 years.

Table 1

The Results of Thematic Analysis

Categories	Subcategories	Concepts
Transparency	Communication of Decisions	- Clarity of information- Frequency of updates- Channels used
	Criteria for Allocation	- Defined metrics- Known benchmarks- Eligibility requirements
	Access to Information	- Availability of resources- Accessibility for all staff- Confidentiality concerns
Equity	Equal Opportunities	- Access to projects- Promotion pathways- Training opportunities
	Recognition and Rewards	- Merit-based rewards- Recognition of effort- Performance evaluations
	Diversity and Inclusion	- Support for minorities- Gender equality- Cultural sensitivity
	Needs-Based Allocation	- Consideration of personal circumstances- Support during crises- Tailored benefits
Employee Satisfaction	Perceived Fairness	- Sense of justice- Personal satisfaction- Morale
	Impact on Performance	- Motivation levels- Quality of work- Productivity
	Feedback Mechanisms	- Availability of feedback channels- Responsiveness to concerns- Anonymity in feedback
	Professional Growth	- Career development opportunities- Training and upskilling- Mentoring programs
	Workplace Atmosphere	- Collegiality- Collaborative environment- Conflict resolution

In the qualitative analysis of employee perceptions of fairness in resource distribution within large corporations, three primary themes emerged: Transparency, Equity, and Employee Satisfaction. Each theme was further subdivided into subthemes with associated open codes derived from the data, as outlined below.

3.1. Transparency

Transparency was identified as a critical factor influencing perceptions of fairness. The subthemes under this category included Communication of Decisions, Criteria for Allocation, and Access to Information.

Communication of Decisions: Employees emphasized the importance of clarity, frequency, and the channels used for communicating decisions. One participant noted, "When we understand why and how decisions are made, it feels more fair," highlighting the significance of clear communication.

Criteria for Allocation: The clearly defined metrics, known benchmarks, and eligibility requirements were crucial. Another participant remarked, "Fairness comes from knowing the playfield is even and the rules are clear."

Access to Information: Issues such as the availability of resources and accessibility for all staff were frequently mentioned. "Everyone should have access to the same information if the process is to be deemed fair," a respondent stated.

3.2. Equity

Equity encompassed themes like Equal Opportunities, Recognition and Rewards, Diversity and Inclusion, and Needs-Based Allocation.

Equal Opportunities: Access to projects, promotion pathways, and training opportunities were vital. "Equal opportunity is not just a concept but should be an actionable commitment in every department," one interviewee expressed.

Recognition and Rewards: Participants valued merit-based rewards, recognition of effort, and accurate performance evaluations. "Recognition should align with one's contribution, not favoritism," said another.

Diversity and Inclusion: The support for minorities, gender equality, and cultural sensitivity were highlighted. "Diversity policies need to be more than just words; they should reflect in our daily operations," was a sentiment echoed during interviews.

Needs-Based Allocation: Consideration of personal circumstances and support during crises were pointed out. "The company should recognize our different needs and address them to ensure fairness," one participant explained.

3.3. Employee Satisfaction

The final theme, Employee Satisfaction, was dissected into Perceived Fairness, Impact on Performance, Feedback Mechanisms, Professional Growth, and Workplace Atmosphere.

Perceived Fairness: This involved a general sense of justice, personal satisfaction, and morale. "If the employees feel that the distribution is fair, it reflects positively on their morale and job satisfaction," mentioned a participant.

Impact on Performance: Motivation levels, quality of work, and productivity were directly tied to perceptions of fairness. "Fair treatment boosts my motivation and naturally, my performance," stated one interviewee.

Feedback Mechanisms: The availability and responsiveness of feedback channels and anonymity in feedback were important. "A robust feedback mechanism that ensures anonymity can help address many fairness-related concerns," another noted.

Professional Growth: Opportunities for career development, training, and mentoring programs were crucial. "Professional growth opportunities distributed fairly among employees can lead to greater job satisfaction and retention," was a commonly held view.

Workplace Atmosphere: Factors like collegiality, a collaborative environment, and effective conflict resolution were emphasized. "A positive workplace atmosphere, where everyone feels respected and valued, significantly contributes to perceptions of fairness," highlighted by a respondent.

4. Discussion and Conclusion

In the qualitative analysis of employee perceptions regarding fairness in resource distribution within large corporations, three main themes were identified: Transparency, Equity, and Employee Satisfaction. These themes were further divided into several categories, with Transparency encompassing Communication of Decisions, Criteria for Allocation, and Access to Information. Equity included Equal Opportunities, Recognition and Rewards, Diversity and Inclusion, and Needs-Based Allocation. Finally, Employee Satisfaction comprised Perceived Fairness, Impact on Performance, Feedback Mechanisms, Professional Growth, and Workplace Atmosphere.

The theme of Transparency highlighted the importance of open communication and accessible information within the organization. The category Communication of Decisions was characterized by concepts such as clarity of information,

frequency of updates, and the use of appropriate communication channels. Under Criteria for Allocation, concepts included defined metrics, known benchmarks, and explicit eligibility requirements which help ensure that the resource distribution processes are perceived as fair. The Access to Information category underscored the availability of resource-related information, its accessibility to all staff members, and concerns regarding the confidentiality of information.

Equity was a central theme, addressing how resources are distributed equitably across various employee groups. The Equal Opportunities category involved concepts like access to projects, promotion pathways, and training opportunities, emphasizing the need for fair access to all career-enhancing prospects. Recognition and Rewards focused on merit-based rewards, recognition of individual effort, and accurate performance evaluations. Diversity and Inclusion highlighted support for minorities, gender equality, and cultural sensitivity, reflecting the corporation's commitment to fair treatment. Lastly, Needs-Based Allocation dealt with personalizing resource distribution based on individual circumstances, supporting staff during crises, and providing tailored benefits.

The theme of Employee Satisfaction delved into how fairness in resource distribution impacts overall employee morale and organizational commitment. Perceived Fairness included concepts such as the general sense of justice within the organization, personal satisfaction with the distribution processes, and overall employee morale. Impact on Performance related to how fairness perceptions affect motivation levels, quality of work, and productivity. Feedback Mechanisms highlighted the availability and effectiveness of channels for providing feedback on fairness issues, including the anonymity and responsiveness of such systems. Professional Growth involved career development opportunities, upskilling, and mentoring programs. Finally, Workplace Atmosphere focused on creating a collegial environment, promoting collaborative efforts, and effective conflict resolution strategies, all seen as critical to fostering a fair and satisfying workplace.

Transparency emerged as a foundational theme in our study, with subthemes focusing on communication of decisions, criteria for allocation, and access to information. Participants expressed a strong desire for clear, frequent, and transparent communication regarding how decisions are made within the organization. This finding is consistent with Choi and Chen's (2007) research, which highlights the importance of distributive justice and transparency in enhancing employee attitudes towards their employers (Choi & Chen, 2007). Further, our findings suggest that when employees are well-informed about the criteria for resource distribution, their perceptions of fairness increase, supporting the observations made by Smith, Mazibuko, and Mrwebi (2019) regarding the pivotal role of clear benchmarks and eligibility requirements in fostering a sense of distributive justice (Smith et al., 2019).

Equity was another significant theme, reflecting the critical role of equal opportunities, recognition and rewards, diversity and inclusion, and needs-based allocation in shaping perceptions of fairness. The emphasis on merit-based recognition and rewards found in this study echoes the findings of Fuchs and Edwards (2011), who noted that perceived organizational justice positively influences organizational identification and pro-change behavior (Fuchs & Edwards, 2011). Similarly, our participants' focus on diversity and inclusion aligns with Jung and Ali's (2017) observation that corporate social responsibility practices related to fairness and justice can lead to positive employee attitudes, reinforcing the importance of inclusivity in resource distribution (Jung & Ali, 2017).

The final theme, Employee Satisfaction, was closely linked to perceived fairness, impact on performance, feedback mechanisms, professional growth, and workplace atmosphere. The direct correlation between fairness perceptions and employee satisfaction and performance supports Heffernan and Dundon's (2016) findings on the mediating role of organizational justice in employee well-being (Heffernan & Dundon, 2016). Moreover, our results highlight the importance of effective feedback mechanisms, as also discussed by Harrington and Lee (2014), who found that perceptions of fairness in performance appraisal significantly drive the perceived fairness of the overall organizational practices (Harrington & Lee, 2014).

The results of this study are further supported by Alonso and Elovainio (2022), who reviewed two decades of studies on organizational justice and health, affirming that fair treatment within the workplace significantly enhances employee health and job satisfaction (Alonso & Elovainio, 2022). Furthermore, the emphasis on equitable HR practices and their role in reducing turnover intentions, as found in our study, is supported by the work of Fathima and Umarani (2022), highlighting the critical impact of fairness in HRM practices on employee retention.

Moreover, our findings regarding the importance of high-performance work systems in enhancing perceptions of fairness align with Modipane, Botha, and Blom's (2019)

investigation into performance management systems. Their study suggests that transparent and equitable performance management systems are essential for fostering a perception of fairness and enhancing employee satisfaction (Modipane et al., 2019).

This study explored employee perceptions of fairness in resource distribution within large corporations through a qualitative lens. The findings revealed three main themes impacting perceptions of fairness: Transparency, Equity, and Employee Satisfaction. Under Transparency, clear communication, explicit allocation criteria, and accessible information were highlighted as crucial. Equity was characterized by the presence of equal opportunities, merit-based rewards, and inclusivity. Employee Satisfaction was significantly influenced by perceived fairness, which in turn affected performance, engagement in feedback mechanisms, opportunities for professional growth, and the general workplace atmosphere. These findings enrich the understanding of how fairness perceptions are shaped within corporate settings.

The study underscores the intricate dynamics of fairness perceptions within large corporations, highlighting the essential roles of transparency, equity, and the resultant employee satisfaction. It reaffirms the theoretical propositions that perceptions of fairness not only contribute to individual employee outcomes but also to broader organizational success. The implications of understanding and implementing fairness in resource distribution are profound, affecting everything from employee morale to overall corporate productivity.

This study, while insightful, has limitations. The sample size, though adequate for qualitative saturation, may not fully represent the diversity of experiences across different industries or global locations. Furthermore, the reliance on self-reported data through interviews might introduce biases, as employees may withhold full disclosure or provide socially desirable responses. Additionally, the cross-sectional nature of the study limits the ability to draw conclusions about the causality of observed relationships.

Future research could expand on this study by incorporating a larger and more diverse sample that includes various industries and geographical regions to enhance generalizability. Longitudinal studies could also be beneficial to examine how perceptions of fairness evolve over time and in response to changes in corporate policies or economic conditions. Additionally, integrating quantitative measures could provide a more objective analysis of the impact of perceived fairness on specific employee outcomes.

For practitioners, this study highlights the importance of fostering transparency and equity in resource distribution. Organizations should strive to ensure that all processes related to resource distribution are transparent and communicated effectively to all employees. Implementing fair and inclusive policies can lead to higher employee satisfaction, which in turn can boost productivity and loyalty. Training for managers on the principles of organizational justice and regular audits of existing practices could also help in maintaining fairness. Ultimately, organizations that prioritize fairness in their operations are likely to foster a more committed and motivated workforce, leading to sustainable success.

Authors' Contributions

Authors contributed equally to this article.

Declaration

In order to correct and improve the academic writing of our paper, we have used the language model ChatGPT.

Transparency Statement

Data are available for research purposes upon reasonable request to the corresponding author.

Acknowledgments

We would like to express our gratitude to all individuals helped us to do the project.

Declaration of Interest

The authors report no conflict of interest.

Funding

According to the authors, this article has no financial support.

Ethics Considerations

In this research, ethical standards including obtaining informed consent, ensuring privacy and confidentiality were considered.

References

Alonso, L. C., & Elovainio, M. (2022). Organizational Justice and Health: Reviewing Two Decades of Studies. *Journal of*

Theoretical Social Psychology. https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/3218883

Choi, J., & Chen, C. C. (2007). The Relationships of Distributive Justice and Compensation System Fairness to Employee Attitudes in International Joint Ventures. *Journal of Organizational Behavior.* https://doi.org/10.1002/job.438

Fathima, M. H., & Umarani, C. (2022). Fairness in Human Resource Management Practices and Engineers' Intention to Stay In Indian Construction Firms. *Employee Relations.* https://doi.org/10.1108/er-07-2021-0308

Fuchs, S., & Edwards, M. J. A. (2011). Predicting Pro-change Behaviour: The Role of Perceived Organisational Justice and Organisational Identification. *Human Resource Management Journal.* https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1748-8583.2011.00167.x

Harrington, J. R., & Lee, J. H. (2014). What Drives Perceived Fairness of Performance Appraisal? Exploring the Effects of Psychological Contract Fulfillment on Employees' Perceived Fairness of Performance Appraisal in U.S. Federal Agencies. *Public Personnel Management.* https://doi.org/10.1177/0091026014564071

Heffernan, M., & Dundon, T. (2016). Cross-level Effects of High-performance Work Systems (HPWS) and Employee Well-being: The Mediating Effect of Organisational Justice. *Human Resource Management Journal.* https://doi.org/10.1111/1748-8583.12095

Jung, H., & Ali, M. A. (2017). Corporate Social Responsibility, Organizational Justice and Positive Employee Attitudes: In the Context of Korean Employment Relations. *Sustainability.* https://doi.org/10.3390/su9111992

Modipane, P. I., Botha, P. A., & Blom, T. (2019). Employees' Perceived Effectiveness of the Performance Management System at a North-West Provincial Government Department. *Sa Journal of Human Resource Management.* https://doi.org/10.4102/sajhrm.v17i0.1081

Mok, M., & Leong, Y.-Y. (2021). Factors Affecting the Effectiveness of Employees' Performance Appraisal in Private Hospitals in Malaysia. *International Journal of Business and Society.* https://doi.org/10.33736/ijbs.3174.2021

Moorman, R. H. (1991). Relationship Between Organizational Justice and Organizational Citizenship Behaviors: Do Fairness Perceptions Influence Employee Citizenship? *Journal of Applied Psychology.* https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.76.6.845

Rupp, D. E., Ganapathi, J., Aguilera, R. V., & Williams, C. A. (2006). Employee Reactions to Corporate Social Responsibility: An Organizational Justice Framework. *Journal of Organizational Behavior.* https://doi.org/10.1002/job.380

Smith, E. E., Mazibuko, N. E., & Mrwebi, V. (2019). Perceptions Regarding Distributive Justice in the South African Financial Service Industry. *African Journal of Business Ethics.* https://doi.org/10.15249/13-1-206

Yao, Y., & Zeng, H. (2019). Employee Turnover Intention of SMEs: A Case Study in China. *International Journal of Small and Medium Enterprises.* https://doi.org/10.46281/ijsmes.v2i2.439