

Article history: Received 22 January 2023 Revised 25 February 2023 Accepted 29 February 2023 Published online 01 April 2023

## Journal of Resource Management and Decision Engineering



Volume 2, Issue 2, pp 4-10

# Perceptions of Risk and Decision-Making in Renewable Energy Investments

khadije Khanzadi 10

<sup>1</sup> Assistant Professor, Department of Educational Management, Garmsar Branch, Islamic Azad University, Garmsar, Iran

\* Corresponding author email address: shkhanzady@gmail.com

## Article Info

Article type: Letter to Editor

#### How to cite this article:

Khanzadi Kh. (2023). Perceptions of Risk and Decision-Making in Renewable Energy Investments. *Journal of Resource Management and Decision Engineering*, 2(2), 4-10.

https://doi.org/10.61838/kman.jrmde.2.2.2



© 2023 the authors. Published by KMAN Publication Inc. (KMANPUB). This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC 4.0) License.

## ABSTRACT

The transition to renewable energy is crucial for sustainable development, yet it is fraught with complexities involving risk perception and decision-making processes. This study aims to delve into the qualitative aspects of how investors perceive risks and make decisions within the renewable energy sector. Understanding these dynamics can help optimize investment strategies and policy formulations to better support the growth of renewable energy technologies. This qualitative study was conducted using semi-structured interviews with 26 professionals involved in renewable energy investments, including investors, project managers, and financial analysts. Theoretical saturation was achieved to ensure comprehensive coverage of perceptions and experiences. Data were analyzed through thematic analysis, identifying themes and categories related to risk perception and investment decision-making processes. Five main themes were identified: Risk Perception, Investment Motivations, Decision-Making Processes, Strategic Responses, and Challenges and Barriers. Within these, participants highlighted various risks (market, technological, environmental, political, financial) and motivations (profit potential, environmental impact, government incentives, CSR). Decision-making processes were marked by thorough information gathering, risk assessment, and strategic planning. Strategic responses included risk mitigation, innovation adoption, and partnership development. Significant challenges included funding difficulties, regulatory hurdles, market entry barriers, technical challenges, and issues with public perception. The study underscores the complexity of investing in renewable energy, influenced heavily by a variety of perceived risks and strategic considerations. Effective investment decision-making in this sector requires not only recognizing and mitigating risks but also capitalizing on motivations that align with sustainable and profitable outcomes. Strategic planning and adaptations to emerging market conditions and technological advancements are crucial for overcoming barriers and maximizing investment returns.

*Keywords:* Renewable Energy, Investment Decision-Making, Risk Perception, Strategic Responses, Qualitative Research, Energy Policy.



## 1. Introduction

he global energy sector is undergoing a significant transformation as it shifts from fossil fuels to renewable energy sources in response to environmental regulatory concerns, pressures, and technological advancements. This transition is essential for mitigating climate change impacts and ensuring a sustainable energy future. However, the shift also introduces new complexities in investment decision-making, influenced by perceptions of risk and various other factors that play critical roles in the development and deployment of renewable energy technologies (Komendantova et al., 2012; Lüthi & Wüstenhagen, 2012).

Investments in renewable energy are affected not only by traditional financial metrics but also by a range of non-financial factors, including policy risks, technological challenges, market dynamics, and socio-political factors. The research by Jacobsson and Johnson (2000) underscores the importance of understanding these dynamics, as they present an analytical framework that highlights key issues such as market structure and policy settings, which are vital for the diffusion of renewable energy technologies. This framework sets the stage for further investigation into how these factors impact investment decisions in the renewable energy sector (Johnson et al., 2020).

Perceptions of risk specifically play a pivotal role in renewable energy investments. Studies by Chassot, Hampl, and Wüstenhagen (2014) and Komendantova et al. (2012) delve into how individual and collective worldviews shape risk perceptions, which in turn influence investment decisions. These perceptions are not uniform and can significantly vary based on the investors' background, exposure to the market, and their understanding of renewable technologies (Chassot et al.. 2014: Komendantova et al., 2012). The impact of geopolitical risks, as discussed by Flouros, Pistikou, and Plakandaras (2022), further complicates the investment landscape, making it crucial to assess the stability and policies of the regions where these investments are made (Flouros et al., 2022).

Financial considerations remain a cornerstone of investment decisions. The importance of project finance, as explored by Steffen (2018), highlights how different financing models and financial instruments can mitigate risks associated with renewable energy projects. The study emphasizes the need for innovative financing solutions to manage and distribute the financial risks inherent in renewable energy investments (Steffen, 2018).

Behavioral factors also significantly influence the decision-making process. Research by Masini and Menichetti (2012) and Polemis and Spais (2020) provides insights into how cognitive biases, cultural attitudes, and personal values affect the perceptions of risk and opportunities in renewable energy investments. These behavioral factors can either propel or hinder the adoption of renewable technologies, depending on how they interact with external market conditions and internal strategic goals of the investing entities (Masini & Menichetti, 2012; Polemis & Spais, 2020).

Furthermore, the role of policy in shaping the investment environment cannot be overlooked. Lüthi and Wüstenhagen (2012) examine the price of policy risk, offering empirical insights from choice experiments that demonstrate how policy uncertainty can deter potential investors due to the increased unpredictability of returns. Policies, therefore, need to be stable, predictable, and conducive to fostering a favorable investment climate (Lüthi & Wüstenhagen, 2012).

This article aims to explore these multifaceted issues by focusing on how investors in the renewable energy sector perceive risks and make decisions amidst these complexities. Through qualitative research involving semi-structured interviews with industry professionals, this study seeks to achieve a deeper understanding of the underlying factors that drive investment decisions in renewable energy. The goal is to contribute to the literature on renewable energy investment by providing empirical insights into the decisionmaking processes, with a focus on risk perception and the influence of various behavioral and external factors. This exploration is crucial for policymakers, investors, and stakeholders who are engaged in or affected by the deployment of renewable energy technologies, as it can guide more informed strategies and policies that support the growth of this vital sector.

## 2. Methods and Materials

#### 2.1. Study Design and Participants

This study adopted a qualitative research approach to explore perceptions of risk and decision-making processes in renewable energy investments. The focus on qualitative methods allowed for an in-depth understanding of the subjective experiences and attitudes of individuals involved in this sector. The qualitative design was underpinned by a phenomenological framework that aimed to capture the essence of participants' experiences and insights regarding their investment decisions.

Participants were purposively selected from a pool of professionals actively engaged in the renewable energy investment field, including investors, project managers, and financial analysts. The selection criteria were based on their direct involvement in decision-making processes related to renewable energy projects. The study aimed for theoretical saturation, a point at which no new information or themes are observed in the data. To achieve this, the recruitment continued until additional interviews did not provide any new substantial insights.

Ethical considerations addressed included the confidentiality of participant data, the voluntary nature of participation, and the right to withdraw from the study at any time without any consequences. Special attention was given to the ethical handling of data and the secure storage of sensitive information.

## 2.2. Measures

## 2.2.1. Semi-Structured Interview

Data was collected exclusively through semi-structured interviews, which allowed for flexibility in the discussion while ensuring that all pertinent topics were covered. The interview guide was developed based on a preliminary review of the literature to include open-ended questions that prompted discussion on risk perception, factors influencing investment decisions, challenges faced, and strategies employed in risk management.

Each interview lasted approximately 60-90 minutes and was conducted either face-to-face or via video conferencing tools, depending on the participant's location and availability. Prior to each interview, participants were

#### Table 1

The Results of Qualitative Analysis

provided with an information sheet detailing the study's objectives and their rights, including confidentiality and voluntary participation. Consent was obtained from all participants before the interviews commenced.

#### 2.3. Data Analysis

Interviews were audio-recorded with the consent of the participants and transcribed verbatim. Transcripts were analyzed using thematic analysis, a method for identifying, analyzing, and reporting patterns (themes) within data. The analysis was iterative, involving constant comparison of data to refine and categorize themes. Initial codes were generated from a close reading of a subset of transcripts, and these codes were then applied and refined across the full data set. This coding process was aided by qualitative data analysis software, which facilitated the organization and retrieval of data relevant to each emerging theme.

#### 3. Findings and Results

In the study, a total of 26 participants were interviewed to understand their perceptions of risk and decision-making processes in renewable energy investments. The demographic breakdown of the participants included 16 males and 10 females, reflecting a diverse range of perspectives across gender lines. The age of participants varied, with 8 individuals falling within the 25-35 age range, 10 in the 36-50 age range, and 8 aged 51 and above, ensuring broad spectrum of experiences and insights. а Professionally, the group was diverse: 6 were project managers, 10 were investors, and 10 were financial analysts, each bringing a unique viewpoint based on their specific roles in the renewable energy sector.

Categories	Subcategories	Concepts
1. Risk Perception	Market Risks	Economic instability, policy changes, market demand, investor sentiment
	Technological Risks	Obsolescence, innovation pace, integration challenges
	Environmental Risks	Regulatory compliance, climate impact, sustainability concerns
	Political Risks	Policy instability, government incentives, international relations
	Financial Risks	Liquidity issues, credit risks, interest rates, return on investment
2. Investment Motivations	Profit Potential	High returns, long-term gains, market growth
	Environmental Impact	Carbon footprint reduction, sustainable development, eco-innovation
	Government Incentives	Tax breaks, subsidies, grants
	Corporate Social Responsibility	Brand image, stakeholder pressure, ethical considerations
3. Decision-Making Processes	Information Gathering	Data analysis, market research, expert consultation
	Risk Assessment	Risk analysis models, scenario planning
	Strategic Planning	Goal setting, roadmap development, priority alignment



4. Strategic Responses	Risk Mitigation	Diversification, insurance, hedging strategies
	Innovation Adoption	New technologies, R&D investment, pilot projects
	Partnership Development	Joint ventures, strategic alliances, stakeholder engagement
	Regulatory Compliance	Adherence to laws, proactive engagement with regulators
5. Challenges and Barriers	Funding Difficulties	Capital acquisition, high upfront costs, investor skepticism
	Regulatory Hurdles	Permitting process, legal constraints, policy shifts
	Market Entry Barriers	Competition, market saturation, entry costs
	Technical Challenges	Integration issues, scale-up problems, technical reliability
	Public Perception	Misinformation, public resistance, lack of awareness

In exploring the perceptions of risk and decision-making in renewable energy investments, five primary themes emerged from the qualitative data collected through semistructured interviews with 26 industry professionals. The analysis yielded rich insights into various aspects of the renewable energy investment process.

1. Risk Perception: Participants identified several categories of risks that are pivotal in shaping investment decisions. Market risks were frequently cited, with one investor noting, "Economic instability and shifting market demands pose significant challenges to forecasting long-term profitability." Technological risks were also highlighted, particularly the pace of innovation and the risk of technological obsolescence. Environmental risks, such as compliance with increasingly stringent regulations, were underscored by several participants, with one stating, "Regulatory compliance is not just about avoiding penalties, but about foreseeing future environmental mandates." Political and financial risks were also noted, with concerns about policy instability and financial liquidity being prevalent.

2. Investment Motivations: The motivation behind investments in renewable energy was multifaceted. The potential for profit, driven by market growth and high returns, was a common theme. Environmental impact was another significant motivator, as one project manager expressed, "Our goal is to reduce the carbon footprint and foster sustainable development through our investments." Government incentives, such as tax breaks and subsidies, and corporate social responsibility (CSR) were also influential, with one analyst remarking, "CSR is not just about ethics; it's about building a sustainable brand that resonates with our stakeholders."

3. Decision-Making Processes: Decision-making in renewable energy investments involves several critical stages. Information gathering through market research and expert consultation is foundational, as stated by one participant: "We rely heavily on data analysis and expert opinions to steer our investment decisions." Risk assessment techniques and strategic planning were also highlighted, with participants discussing the use of risk analysis models and the importance of aligning investment goals with broader strategic objectives.

4. Strategic Responses: To navigate the complexities of the renewable energy market, strategic responses such as risk mitigation strategies, including diversification and insurance, were common. The adoption of innovative technologies and the development of partnerships were seen as crucial to staying competitive. Compliance with regulatory requirements was also a significant concern, as one investor pointed out, "Proactive engagement with regulators is essential to navigate the evolving legal landscape."

5. Challenges and Barriers: Several challenges and barriers impact the investment in renewable energy. Funding difficulties were often mentioned, with one participant explaining, "Acquiring capital for new technologies is challenging due to high initial costs and investor skepticism." Regulatory hurdles, market entry barriers, and technical challenges related to the scalability and reliability of new technologies were also noted. Public perception and misinformation pose additional barriers, as another participant indicated, "Public resistance and lack of awareness can significantly slow down project approval and implementation."

## 4. Discussion and Conclusion

In the qualitative exploration of perceptions of risk and decision-making in renewable energy investments, the study identified five main themes: Risk Perception, Investment Motivations, Decision-Making Processes, Strategic Responses, and Challenges and Barriers. Each theme was further subdivided into several categories, capturing a detailed spectrum of issues relevant to renewable energy investments.

The Risk Perception theme encompassed a variety of risks considered by participants. Market Risks included economic instability, policy changes, market demand, and



investor sentiment, highlighting the volatility and unpredictability of the market. Technological Risks comprised concerns such as obsolescence, the pace of innovation. and integration challenges, reflecting apprehension about keeping pace with rapid technological advancements. Environmental Risks involved regulatory compliance, climate impact, and sustainability concerns, indicating the environmental responsibilities and challenges facing investors. Political Risks were identified as policy instability, government incentives, and international relations, showing the influence of political climates on investment security. Lastly, Financial Risks were noted, including liquidity issues, credit risks, interest rates, and return on investment, which are crucial financial elements impacting the viability of investments.

Under Investment Motivations, participants expressed various driving factors for their investments. Profit Potential was a key motivator, with concepts like high returns, longterm gains, and market growth indicating the financial allure of renewable energy investments. Environmental Impact focused on reducing the carbon footprint, sustainable development, and eco-innovation, showcasing the ethical and environmental commitments of the investors. Government Incentives, such as tax breaks, subsidies, and grants, were noted as critical facilitators of investments. Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) also emerged as a motivator, with concepts such as brand image, stakeholder pressure, and ethical considerations emphasizing the role of CSR in shaping investment decisions.

The Decision-Making Processes theme revealed how investors navigate the complex investment landscape. Information Gathering included data analysis, market research, and expert consultation, highlighting the importance of thorough research and knowledge acquisition. Risk Assessment involved using risk analysis models and scenario planning, which are crucial for understanding and mitigating potential risks. Strategic Planning encompassed goal setting, roadmap development, and priority alignment, illustrating the strategic foresight required to make informed investment decisions.

Strategic Responses to the risks and opportunities in renewable energy investments included several proactive measures. Risk Mitigation strategies such as diversification, insurance, and hedging strategies were commonly cited, reflecting efforts to buffer against potential losses. Innovation Adoption emphasized new technologies, R&D investment, and pilot projects, highlighting the proactive adoption of innovations to stay competitive. Partnership Development involved forming joint ventures, strategic alliances, and engaging stakeholders, showcasing the collaborative efforts needed to leverage collective strengths. Regulatory Compliance was also crucial, with adherence to laws and proactive engagement with regulators being necessary for operational legitimacy.

The final theme, Challenges and Barriers, addressed the obstacles faced by investors. Funding Difficulties included capital acquisition, high upfront costs, and investor skepticism, pointing out the financial hurdles in initiating renewable energy projects. Regulatory Hurdles involved the permitting process, legal constraints, and policy shifts, highlighting the bureaucratic challenges that can impede progress. Market Entry Barriers such as competition, market saturation, and entry costs were noted as significant impediments to new entrants. Technical Challenges included integration issues, scale-up problems, and technical reliability, underscoring the operational difficulties encountered in project implementation. Public Perception, involving misinformation, public resistance, and lack of awareness, was identified as a critical barrier to gaining public support and acceptance for renewable energy projects.

Participants identified multiple categories of risks associated with renewable energy investments. Consistent with the findings of Bature et al. (2018), our study highlights that market and technological risks are prominent concerns among investors (Bature et al., 2018). The rapid pace of technological innovation, noted in our findings, underscores the fear of obsolescence, which can deter investment despite the potential high returns. This aligns with Karamoozian et al. (2022), who emphasize the importance of robust risk assessment frameworks to manage the uncertainties inherent in new technologies (Karamoozian et al., 2022).

Political and environmental risks were also significantly noted in our study, echoing Flouros, Pistikou, and Plakandaras (2022), who identified geopolitical stability as a critical determinant of renewable energy investments (Flouros et al., 2022). Furthermore, regulatory risks, a subset of political risks, have been extensively discussed by participants, aligning with the observations by Lüthi and Wüstenhagen (2012), who reported that policy uncertainty could drastically affect investor confidence and decisionmaking processes (Lüthi & Wüstenhagen, 2012).

Investment Motivations and Decision-Making Processes

Our findings indicate that environmental impacts and government incentives are significant motivators for investing in renewable energy, as previously noted by



Masini and Menichetti (2012). These motivations are closely linked with corporate social responsibility and the potential for long-term profitability, aspects that are becoming increasingly important as firms align their strategic goals with sustainable practices (Masini & Menichetti, 2012).

The decision-making processes revealed in the interviews underscore the importance of information gathering and strategic planning, as discussed by Jacobsson and Johnson (2000). This strategic approach helps mitigate perceived risks and aligns investment decisions with broader economic and environmental goals, corroborating the conceptual framework proposed by Wüstenhagen and Menichetti (2012), which emphasizes strategic choices in renewable energy investments (Jacobsson & Johnson, 2000; Wüstenhagen & Menichetti, 2012).

Our participants frequently discussed the adoption of risk mitigation strategies, such as diversification and insurance, to manage investment uncertainties. These strategies reflect the financial management practices outlined by Steffen (2018), who stresses the need for innovative financial instruments to facilitate renewable energy projects. This is particularly relevant in addressing the funding difficulties and market entry barriers, which are major challenges as identified by our study participants.

The challenges related to regulatory hurdles and public perception also align with the findings of Komendantova et al. (2012), who discuss how social acceptance and regulatory environments significantly impact renewable energy projects. The technical challenges and the need for regulatory compliance mentioned in our findings highlight the ongoing issues that must be addressed to enhance investment in this sector (Komendantova et al., 2012).

This study has uncovered several critical aspects of risk perception and decision-making in renewable energy investments. The qualitative analysis revealed five main themes: Risk Perception, Investment Motivations, Decision-Making Processes, Strategic Responses, and Challenges and Barriers. Participants identified a range of risks including market, technological, environmental, political, and financial risks, which significantly influence investment decisions. The motivations for investments were predominantly driven by profit potential, environmental impacts, government incentives, and corporate social responsibility. The decision-making process was characterized by thorough information gathering and strategic planning, aimed at aligning investment decisions with long-term goals. Strategic responses to mitigate risks included diversification, adoption of innovative

technologies, and development of partnerships, while challenges such as funding difficulties, regulatory hurdles, and public perception were noted as significant barriers to investment.

The study highlights the complexity and multidimensional nature of decision-making in the renewable energy sector. By exploring how investors perceive risks and make decisions, it provides valuable insights into the factors that drive the renewable energy market. The findings underscore the need for robust strategies to navigate the risks and leverage the opportunities presented by renewable energy investments. This research contributes to the broader understanding of sustainable investment practices and offers a foundation for further exploration into effective risk management and strategic decision-making in this vital sector.

This study is not without limitations. The data was gathered solely through semi-structured interviews, which, while rich in detail, are limited by the subjective experiences of the participants. The sample size, though adequate for qualitative saturation, may not fully capture the diversity of perspectives in the global renewable energy market. Additionally, as the study focuses primarily on qualitative insights, the findings may benefit from triangulation with quantitative data to enhance the robustness and generalizability of the results.

Future research could expand on this study by incorporating a larger, more diverse sample that includes participants from different geographical regions and sectors within the renewable energy industry. Quantitative methods could be employed to complement the qualitative findings and provide a broader statistical context to the risk perceptions and decision-making processes. Additionally, longitudinal studies could explore how these perceptions and decisions evolve over time, particularly in response to technological advancements and shifting regulatory landscapes.

For practitioners in the renewable energy sector, this study suggests the importance of comprehensive risk assessments and strategic planning to manage the complex array of risks identified. Companies should consider enhancing their risk mitigation strategies, such as by increasing diversification and strengthening partnerships, to safeguard against volatile market conditions and technological changes. Policymakers can draw from these findings to create more stable regulatory environments that encourage investment and facilitate the growth of renewable energy. Overall, by understanding the intricacies of investor



behavior and risk perception, stakeholders can better navigate the challenges and capitalize on the opportunities in the renewable energy market.

## **Authors' Contributions**

Authors contributed equally to this article.

## Declaration

In order to correct and improve the academic writing of our paper, we have used the language model ChatGPT.

## **Transparency Statement**

Data are available for research purposes upon reasonable request to the corresponding author.

#### Acknowledgments

We would like to express our gratitude to all individuals helped us to do the project.

#### **Declaration of Interest**

The authors report no conflict of interest.

## Funding

According to the authors, this article has no financial support.

## Ethics Considerations

In this research, ethical standards including obtaining informed consent, ensuring privacy and confidentiality were considered.

## References

- Bature, A., Melville, L., Rahman, K. M., Akhtar, J., & Aulak, P. (2018). An Investigation Into the Effects of Risks and Risk Management of Bioenergy Projects. *E3s Web of Conferences*. https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/20186100006
- Chassot, S., Hampl, N., & Wüstenhagen, R. (2014). When Energy Policy Meets Free-Market Capitalists: The Moderating Influence of Worldviews on Risk Perception and Renewable Energy Investment Decisions. *Energy Research & Social Science*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2014.07.013
- Flouros, F., Pistikou, V., & Plakandaras, V. (2022). Geopolitical Risk as a Determinant of Renewable Energy Investments. *Energies*. https://doi.org/10.3390/en15041498
- Jacobsson, S., & Johnson, A. M. (2000). The Diffusion of Renewable Energy Technology: An Analytical Framework and Key Issues for Research. *Energy Policy*. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0301-4215(00)00041-0

- Johnson, O., Pont, P. d., & Gueguen-Teil, C. (2020). Perceptions of Climate-Related Risk in Southeast Asia's Power Sector. *Climate* https://doi.org/10.1080/14693062.2020.1822771
- Karamoozian, A., Wu, D. D., Lambert, J. H., & Luo, C. (2022). Risk Assessment of Renewable Energy Projects Using Uncertain Information. *International Journal of Energy Research*. https://doi.org/10.1002/er.8428
- Komendantova, N., Patt, A., Barras, L., & Battaglini, A. (2012). Perception of Risks in Renewable Energy Projects: The Case of Concentrated Solar Power in North Africa. *Energy Policy*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2009.12.008
- Lüthi, S., & Wüstenhagen, R. (2012). The Price of Policy Risk Empirical Insights From Choice Experiments With European Photovoltaic Project Developers. *Energy Economics*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eneco.2011.08.007
- Masini, A., & Menichetti, E. (2012). The Impact of Behavioural Factors in the Renewable Energy Investment Decision Making Process: Conceptual Framework and Empirical Findings. *Energy Policy*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2010.06.062
- Polemis, M., & Spais, A. (2020). Disentangling the Drivers of Renewable Energy Investments: The Role of Behavioral Factors. Business Strategy and the Environment. https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.2493
- Steffen, B. (2018). The Importance of Project Finance for Renewable Energy Projects. *Energy Economics*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eneco.2017.11.006
- Wüstenhagen, R., & Menichetti, E. (2012). Strategic Choices for Renewable Energy Investment: Conceptual Framework and Opportunities for Further Research. *Energy Policy*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2011.06.050